

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/754,406	XU, SONGJIE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Thomas H. Stevens.

(3) _____.

 (2) Raimaond J. Salenieks.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

1-11, 13-24, 32-37

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Office informed applicants that the claims in question require further detail by way of amendment. As such, the invention is not in condition for allowance; however the Office acknowledges the invention as novel in view of the prior art.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)



 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)